

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/678,643	10/06/2003	Thomas Luetze	095309.52833US	7820
23911	7590 09/29/2005		EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			MORROW, JASON S	
P.O. BOX 14300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300		3612		

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/678,643

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 is a dependent claim, but it appears a claim number from which it depends has been inadvertently omitted. For the following indication of allowable subject matter in claim 10, it should be noted that the examiner treated the claim as being dependent on claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeda et al. in view of Berdan et al.

Takeda et al. discloses a vehicle covering component comprising a support element (24) adapted to be fastened to the vehicle, and a visible element (10) which can be connected to the support element by snapping it in place, wherein, at least one of the support element and the visible element comprises at least two discrete parts (there are a plurality of support elements

Art Unit: 3612

used), the support element comprises a number of parts which differs from a number of parts that form the visible element.

Takeda et al. does not disclose the support element being fastened to the vehicle with an adhesive material joint that is double-sided tape.

Berdan et al. teaches the use of double-sided tape (54) to attach a support element to a vehicle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a support element, such as that disclosed by Takeda et al., to have the support element be fasted to a vehicle with double-sided tape, as taught by Berdan et al., in order to secure the support element in a more stable way and prevent the structure vibrating in a manner which would damage the paint of the vehicle to which it is attached.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 6-9 and 11-21 are allowed.
- 6. Claim 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35
 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/678,643 Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason S. Morrow whose telephone number is (571) 272-6663. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00a.m.-4:30p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason S. Morrow Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612 Application/Control Number: 10/678,643

Art Unit: 3612

September 24, 2005

DOMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

9/24/05